

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/282,615	03/31/1999	JEFFREY RANDELL DEAN	AT9-98-260	8851
7:	590 12/09/2002		•	
INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION INTERNAL ZIP 4054 11400 BURNET ROAD AUSTIN, TX 78758			EXAMINER	
			THEIN, MARIA TERESA P. O.	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3625	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
, ¢		дррисацоп но.				
Office Action Commons		09/282,615	DEAN ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Marissa Thein	3625			
Period for	-					
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any res	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RELATING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The provisions of the provisions of 37 CFR (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The prior of the provision of th	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirty iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' thirte cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on g	09 April 2002 .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.				
3) Disposition	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice under of Claims	owance except for formal mat der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	ters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.					
7) 🗌						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.				
Application	on Papers					
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ 7	he drawing(s) filed on <u>31 March 1999</u> is/ar					
	Applicant may not request that any objection					
11) 🔲 🛚	he proposed drawing correction filed on _		disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
1	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Gee the attached detailed Office action for a	ıl Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
	scknowledgment is made of a claim for don					
a) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	e provisional application has t	peen received.			
Attachmen						
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-944) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	3) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
LLC Detect and T	nd-n-d-Office					

Art Unit: 3625

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

It appears applicant did not received PTO-948 form which will be included in the office action. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office Action.

Response to Amendment

Examiner rejected claims 1-18 in a First Office Action dated January 9, 2002. In an amendment received on April 10, 2002, applicant amended claims 1, 7, and 13.

Specification

Applicant's amendment to page 3, line 17 of the specification where the phrase "involvement in the internet" is replaced with "involvement over the internet", is sufficient to overcome the specification objection set forth in the previous Office Action.

Applicant's amendment to page 4, lines 27 and 30 of the specification where the word "distributer" is replaced with "distributor", is sufficient to overcome the specification objection set forth in the previous Office Action.

Claim Objections

Applicant's amendment to claim 1, line 27 where the phrase "system needs solutions" is replaced with "system needs or system solutions", is sufficient to overcome the claim objection set forth in the previous Office Action.

Applicant's amendment to claim 13, line 1 where the phrase "A computer program having program code included" is replaced with "A computer program included", is sufficient to overcome the claim objection set forth in the previous Office Action.

Application/Control Number: 09/282,615

Art Unit: 3625

Applicant's amendment to claim 13, line 29 where the phrase "system needs solutions" is replaced with "system needs or system solutions", is sufficient to overcome the claim objection set forth in the previous Office Action.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S.

Patent No. 6,167,383 to Henson. Henson discloses a user interactive display computer system and method and a computer program comprising: means for prompting each of a plurality of the system purchasers to make a sequence of interactive data entries; means for applying a set of purchaser business rules to the data entries; means for allocating the computer components to the computer system; means for tracking the quantities; means for applying a set of seller business rules to the tracked quantities; means for offering to selected one of the purchasers modifications in the quantities of selected ones of the allocated components; means for

Application/Control Number: 09/282,615

Art Unit: 3625

enabling the seller to offer to the purchasers financial incentives for accepting the modifications; means for providing a set of business rules of reconciling the purchasers' system needs with the optimized quantities; means for automatically offering the purchasers financial incentives based upon the rules for reconciling; means for dynamically modifying the set of seller business rules; means for dynamically modifying the set of business rules for reconciling; wherein the computer systems being configured are network systems. (See at least abstract, summary, col. 4, line 36 – col. 5, line 64; col. 6, lines 5-43)

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- U.S. Patent No. 5,515,524 to Lynch et al. discloses a constraint-based configuring system which is based on component or resource requests, or input in the form of need.
- U.S. Patent No. 6,192,470 to Kelley et al. discloses a computer configuration device which provides price/performance normalization in determining an optimal computer configuration depending upon the user's specific application.
- U.S. Patent No. 6,446,101 to Dean et al. discloses a process and program for the configuration of computer systems which is provided by a distributor of computer components.

Application/Control Number: 09/282,615

Art Unit: 3625

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marissa Thein whose telephone number is 703-305-5246. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wynn Coggins can be reached on 703-308-1344. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

mtot December 2, 2002

ERIODORY EYADINER